

POLICY AND PROJECT ADVISORY BOARD

Report of the meeting held on Tuesday, 24th March, 2026 at the Council Offices, Farnborough at 7.00 pm.

Voting Members

Cllr S.J. Masterson (Chair)
Cllr Abe Allen (Vice-Chair)
Cllr Lisa Greenway (Vice-Chair)

Cllr A. Adeola
Cllr Steve Harden
Cllr Rhian Jones
Cllr Mara Makunura
Cllr M.J. Roberts
Cllr Dhan Sarki

Apologies for absence were submitted on behalf of Cllrs T.W. Mitchell and Ivan Whitmee.

29. MINUTES

The minutes of the meeting held on 9th December, 2025 were agreed as a correct record.

30. FREE PARKING FOR SHOPPERS

The Chair welcomed Mr Lee McQuade, Economy and Growth Service Manager and Mr David Phillips, Service Manager – Commercial Services, who provided further information in relation to the approval of a Motion at the October, 2025 Council meeting that had called upon the Board to consider whether free or discounted car parking might be offered to shoppers in Aldershot and Farnborough town centres. The Board was reminded that an initial discussion on this matter had been held at its meeting in December, 2025. The wording of the agreed Motion was attached to the agenda and this requested that officers, under guidance from PPAB, should develop a suitable method of consulting residents, local businesses and potential investors about the likely impact of free or discounted parking and how it might work best.

Members were reminded that, at the December meeting, it had been explained that evidence showed that parking cost could influence behaviour and that high charges could discourage short visits, impulse shopping and the use of cafes and other hospitality outlets but it was also acknowledged that cost was one of many factors that could affect these decisions. It was agreed at the December meeting that officers would:

- Assess the impact of limited or targeted changes, e.g. time-limited offers at specific car parks

- Present PPAB with information to assess views on these options
- Exclude wider measures e.g. refund mechanisms or other ways to support town centre businesses
- Note that no formal consultation with residents or businesses had taken place at this stage

The Board was informed that research had shown that free parking trials had been carried out in Farnham, Cambridge and Peterborough but, in each case, special circumstances had prevailed, such as major roadwork disruption in Farnham town centre. In Rochford a 30-minute free parking pilot had been trialled, aimed at supporting quick trips and boosting local access. It was found, however, that whilst the trial had encouraged shorter stays but it had not increased overall time spent in the town and longer stays had been found to contribute significantly more to High Street spending.

Following the December meeting, officers had worked up three options for the Board's consideration:

- Free after 3pm at High Street Multi-Storey Car Park (MSCP), Napier Gardens and Farnborough Leisure Centre
- £1 after 3pm at High Street MSCP, Napier Gardens and Farnborough Leisure Centre
- Free on Sundays at all car parks

Members were informed that Option 1 (free after 3pm at selected car parks) would lead to a predicted loss of income of £68,585 over a twelve-month period, Option 2 (£1 after 3pm at selected car parks) would lose £26,682 p.a. and Option 3 (free on Sundays) would lose £100,330 per year. In considering these figures, the Board was informed that additional costs had not been included at this stage, such as the reprogramming of ticketing machines and the advertising of the new tariffs. There was also the likelihood that drivers would divert from other Council-owned car parks to the cheaper car parks, therefore reducing revenue at those sites also. Members were informed that to trial any of the options for three months would result in the Council accepting a loss of revenue of 25% the totals set out above.

In discussing the content of the presentation, the Board raised the following points:

- Widely felt that the new leisure centre car park would be too remote for shopping – Meads car park considered a better option and would drive footfall through the Meads
- Query as to way figures representing the losses that would apply were higher than previously quoted – explained that tariffs had gone up since that time

- Any initiative would need to demonstrate a cost benefit, especially when Council finances are so tight
- Could any initiative target days of the week where footfall struggling in the town centres? Also days when events happening in town centres
- Need also to consider any additional income lost from not issuing Fixed Penalty Notices (FPNs) whilst concession in place
- View expressed that the suggested three month trial would not produce accurate results due to seasonal changes – would need to be minimum of one year
- View expressed that the Sunday free parking option provided the highest potential for getting extra people into the town centres
- View expressed that free parking may not provide the desired results and that improving/repairing infrastructure in town centres might be a better spend
- Felt that free/reduced parking after 3pm would not be likely to benefit Napier Gardens users as many North Camp shops close early

In summarising the discussion the Chair made the following observations:

- Too many relevant observations made to allow a decision to be made this evening
- Officers requested to take away the feedback from the meeting and return with further/amended options
- Leisure Centre car park to be replaced by Meads car park
- Re; amending the relevant time period for reduced/free parking, felt that might be different for North Camp as opposed to town centres

The Chair thanked Mr McQuade and Mr Phillips for their input and confirmed that this item would be programmed to be discussed again at a future Board meeting.

31. **MAINTENANCE OF UNATTACHED LAND**

The Chair welcomed Mr James Duggin, Executive Head of Operations, and Ms Julia Hutley-Savage, Principal Regeneration and Property Solicitor, who presented information in relation to the maintenance of unattached land, which had been the subject of a Motion that had been approved at the Council meeting in December, 2025. The wording of the Minute relating to the agreed Motion was attached to the agenda and requested that the Board should consider and report to the Cabinet:

- Feasibility and associated costs of undertaking an urgent review of all parcels of unattached or unregistered land within the Borough, in order to confirm the appropriate owners and clarify responsibility for their maintenance
- The extent of emergency works needed to address neglected areas and the funding requirements for this
- The projected costs, resource implications and legal considerations of the Council assuming ongoing responsibility for maintaining such areas on a permanent basis
- Proposals for the establishment of a formal working partnership with Crown land authorities, Hampshire County Council and other relevant bodies including, where appropriate, Rushmoor agencies to coordinate land management and ensure clarity of ownership and responsibility

The Board was advised that there were four ways that the Council could take ownership of Crown land:

- Negotiated Purchase – direct negotiation with the Crown Estate to acquire land
- Bona Vacantia Process – acquisition of land from dissolved companies through formal application to the Bona Vacantia Division (BVD) of the government Legal Department
- Adverse Possession – obtaining of ownership by the uninterrupted use of land for 30 years without the owner's consent
- Compulsory Purchase – although this generally cannot be used against the Crown

In relation to non-Crown land, there were several ways that the Council could take ownership of land including all of the above, with the exception of the Bona Vacantia Process.

Members were informed of the processes and costs associated with each of these options and this information had also been including within the agenda pack.

In conclusion, the Board was advised that:

- There was an unknown quantity of parcels of Crown or unattached land across the Borough
- The Council did not have sufficient resources within the core establishment for a significant acquisition process
- Costs of acquisition were not fully known and would be highly variable based on a number of factors

- A trial process was recommended to learn more

The Board was asked to consider:

- Members' views on the overall purpose of this project
- Given the current resourcing picture, how did Members want to proceed?
- How would the Council determine community benefit to any proposed acquisitions?
- Would the Board be happy to consider a trial?
- What updates would Members like to see before the next meeting?

In discussing the content of the presentation, the Board raised the following points:

- Queried what would happen if conducting a trial acquisition as LGR happened – confirmed the new Council would have to continue if contracts exchanged
- Confirmed that some large areas involved but expected to be mostly small parcels – but not known for sure
- A view was expressed that this was not a key issue for the Council ahead of LGR
- Not so much buying the land as establishing who is responsible for it – to help residents
- Where we know the landowner, can we carry out any works and charge back to the owner? Confirmed the Crown does not pick up such charges and with other owners, this approach would put the Council at risk
- Noted that some of the land is County Council owned
- Suggested the Crown may be keen to release some parcels bulked together – whilst best value must be obtained, this can be expressed as community best value
- Council should target areas that would make a difference
- Confirmed that level of legal costs could be a problem, especially where cases were complicated – in negotiations with the Crown, Council would be required to pick up all of the legal costs
- Worth speaking to Vivid? Would they give us the land if the Council paid the legal costs?

- A few areas in Fernhill Ward that might be good for trial
- If decided not to progress with large scale exercise, could we at least strengthen contacts with Crown Estates?
- Was it worth involving Alex Baker?

The Chair thanked Mr Duggin and Ms Hutley-Savage for their input and confirmed that this item would be programmed to be discussed again at a future Board meeting.

32. **WORK PLAN**

The Board noted the current Work Plan.

The meeting closed at 9.05 pm.

POLICY AND PROJECT ADVISORY BOARD

Report of the meeting held on Tuesday, 9th June, 2026 at the Council Offices, Farnborough at 7.00 pm.

Voting Members

Cllr Thomas Day (Chair)

Cllr A. Adeola
Cllr Leola Card
Cllr Lisa Greenway
Cllr Uttar Gurung
Cllr Sharon Harvey
Cllr Rhian Jones
Cllr Mara Makunura
Cllr Rob Matthews
Cllr Mike Roberts

Apologies for absence were submitted on behalf of Cllr Calum Stewart.

1. APPOINTMENT OF VICE-CHAIRS

RESOLVED: That Cllrs Ade Adeola and Lisa Greenway be appointed as Vice-Chairs for the 2026/27 Municipal Year.

2. MINUTES

The minutes of the meeting held on 24th March, 2026 were agreed as a correct record.

3. EQUALITY POLICY

The Board welcomed Mr Alex Shiell, Service Manager – Policy, Strategy and Transformation and Martin Iyawe, Policy and Projects Officer, who provided information on the Council's new Equality, Diversity and Inclusion Policy and Action Plan, prior to its consideration by the Cabinet.

The Board was advised that the policy aimed to deliver outcomes in many areas, including better access to services for customers and helping to develop stronger and more inclusive communities. Members were assured that the Council already demonstrated an equality approach in many areas, including:

- Housing and homelessness prevention
- Cost of living support.

- Nepali-speaking staff available at service desk
- Community cohesion initiatives
- Community safety work
- Accessible leisure opportunities
- Refugee and resettlement support
- Support for local businesses
- Resident engagement and consultation

It was explained that the Equality Act protected certain characteristics, such as:

- Age
- Disability
- Gender reassignment
- Marriage and civil partnership
- Pregnancy and maternity
- Race
- Religion or belief
- Sex
- Sexual orientation

Members heard that the approach was to strengthen existing work rather than create a new programme. A consultation exercise had taken place between December, 2025 and February, 2026 and this had provided useful information that had been factored into the policy. Delivery of the policy would be split between early actions / quick wins over the coming six months and medium to longer-term actions through to 2028. The Board was invited to:

- Note the consultation findings
- Review the Equality Policy and Action Plan
- Consider whether the five priorities reflected local needs
- Identify any gaps or overlooked groups

- Provide feedback before Cabinet consideration

In discussing the content of the presentation, the Board raised the following points:

- Q – Who to contact if complaints in respect to equality matters?
A – General enquiries through normal Council channels but if a complaint needed to use the formal complaints process
- Evidence of good engagement with Nepali businesses but what about other ethnic groupings?
- Language barriers exist, such as no Nepali speakers at Job Centre
- Consultation aimed at as many community groups as possible but if Members aware of underrepresented group(s), please tell the Policy Team
- 70-80% of respondents did this via website as opposed to other channels
- Accessibility of resources to the young and the neuro diverse are areas where more work is required
- Gender Pay Gap is a driver to support recruitment of women to more senior positions within the organisation
- Armed Forces not a protected characteristic as such but is subject to the provisions of the Army Forces Covenant
- How will we measure success in relation to the Action Plan? Should be outcome-based
- Resources to be offered to this hindered by the significant impact of LGR on senior management capacity - offer from Members to be involved in the delivery of this

In summarising the views of the Board, the Chair proposed that the following recommendations should be made to the Cabinet:

- Consider neuro-diversity to ensure this plan covers people with those needs and obtain data on difficulties neuro-diverse individuals may have had in accessing our services
- Military families should be covered (possibly by reference to the Armed Forces Covenant) even though this is not a protected characteristic in the Act
- Measures in the action plan should focus on outcomes rather than actions taken e.g. numbers of meetings. This will ensure that we are addressing key inequalities, with outcomes that are visible to residents

The Board voted and **AGREED** to the above recommendations.

The Chair thanked Mr Shiell and Mr Iyawe for their input and guidance.

4. **PLAYGROUND IMPROVEMENTS PROJECT**

The Board welcomed Mr Andy Ford, Play & Open Spaces Manager and Ms Ruth Whaymand, Service Manager Environmental Contracts, who provided information in relation to the proposed prioritisation of the refurbishment or renewal of Council-owned playgrounds that would benefit from investment of £400,000 over the following two years.

The Board was advised that, recently, any playground refurbishments or renewals had been carried out using developers' S106 contributions for the relevant area. However, in each of the 2026/27 and 2027/28 financial years, the sum of £200,000 had been allocated to allow for extensive renewals and refurbishments. It was acknowledged, however, that this sum would not allow for the refurbishment or renewal all 40 of the Council-owned sites, so a prioritisation exercise had been required and a proposed order was presented to Members. The Board's view was sought on whether this prioritisation order was correct or should be changed.

Officers had considered the site based on the relative level of dilapidation coupled with usage. Based on this, the following sites were proposed for attention in the 2026/27 financial year:

- 1) Keith Lucas Road, Farnborough
- 2) Sunnybank Road / Dart Road, Farnborough (although two separate sites their close proximity would mean that work on both at same time would make economic sense)

It was expected that these two sites would utilise the entirety of the 2026/27 budget and, should further funding be required, S106 contributions would be used. In relation to 2027/28, the following priority order was suggested:

- 1) Aspen Grove, Aldershot
- 2) Montgomery Road, Farnborough
- 3) Cumbria Court, Farnborough

In discussing the content of the presentation, the Board raised the following points:

- Lack of public conveniences at some sites a problem
- Confirmed Council looking at reopening Cove Green and Rectory Road toilets – no budget to build new toilets
- Confirmed that relevant local communities consulted on proposals to update playground and local residents invited to be involved in project if they would like to be

- Where sites not directly owned by the Council, e.g. Curly Bridge Close, Council seeks to work in partnership with owning organisation
- Confirmed that accessible play equipment could only be fitted at supervised sites.

The Board voted and **AGREED** that the priority order, as set out by Mr Ford in the presentation and as set out above, was correct and was, therefore, recommended for approval by the Cabinet.

The Chair thanked Mr Ford and Ms Whaymand for their input and guidance for this item.

5. APPOINTMENTS TO ELECTIONS GROUP 2026/27

RESOLVED: That the following members be appointed to serve on the Elections Group for the 2026/27 Municipal Year:

Cabinet Member with responsibility for Electoral Issues	Cllr Sophie Porter
Chair of PPAB	Cllr Tom Day
Labour Group (1)	Cllr Bill O'Donovan
Conservative Group (2)	Cllrs Steve Harden and Gareth Lyon
'Others' Group (1)	Cllr C.W. Card
Reform Group (1)	Cllr Kevin Betsworth

6. WORK PLAN

The Board noted the current Work Plan.

The Chair invited Members to submit any possible items for consideration by the Programme Management Board by email.

The meeting closed at 8.21 pm.

OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE

Meeting held on Thursday, 11th June, 2026 at the Council Offices, Farnborough at 7.00 pm.

Voting Members

Cllr Halleh Koohestani (Chair)
Cllr Kevin Betsworth (Vice-Chair)

Cllr A. Adeola
Cllr Uttar Gurung
Cllr Sally McGuinness
Cllr Bill O'Donovan
Cllr Sarah Spall

Apologies for absence were submitted on behalf of Cllr Steve Harden, Cllr G.B. Lyon, Cllr Mike Roberts and Cllr Nicky Slater.

Cllr Lisa Greenway attended the meetings as a Standing Deputy.

1. APPOINTMENT OF VICE CHAIR

RESOLVED: That Cllrs. Kevin Betsworth and Steve Harden be appointed as Vice-Chairmen of the Committee for the 2026/27 Municipal Year.

2. MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING

The minutes of the meeting held on 24th February, 2026 were agreed as a correct record.

3. HOMES FOR ALL - PRIORITY UPDATE

Officers provided a presentation which reported on progress with the Homes for All priority as set out in the Council Delivery Plan.

- *Work with local social housing providers to encourage them to offer local tenants a good, consistent service and decent social homes* – It was noted that the Council had recently established a Housing Oversight Group which aimed to give Members a better understanding of issues round social housing. Alongside this Group, the Council's executive officers and the Cabinet Member met with key providers, as required, to discuss business model objectives at a strategic level.

The Committee were also informed of an electronic library of information on each social housing provider, which was available for them to view through the Members SharePoint.

- *Encourage the development of new and affordable homes on brownfield land* – The Committee noted that a Rushmoor Brownfield Land Register had been published in December, 2025. It was advised that, 1,465 homes were already in the pipeline, 65% of which would be realised from the Civic Quarter proposals. The Planning Policy and Development Management teams worked with developers to ensure compliant schemes were brought forward, however few large opportunities were forthcoming.

With regard to affordable housing, it was noted that the target, which had been met, was for 150 home per year with a healthy trajectory for 2026/27. The affordable homes delivery consisted of one and two bed flats and two, three and four bed houses.

- *Provide good quality temporary and supported accommodation* – It was reported that the Council had 64, units of hostel accommodation ran by the Society of St James, which were mainly self-contained with the exception of nine units in a hostel in Farnborough. Clayton Court was the largest site, housing up to 42 households, and offered support and security on site. The Private Sector Housing Team inspected the accommodation to ensure good standards and address any complaints.

It was noted that, on occasion, accommodation needed to be provided through bed and breakfast establishments. In these circumstances the Council used Travelodge of similar local establishments. The cost to use this type of accommodation was £181,705 (gross), £138,832 (net after housing benefit offset).

- *Intervene to improve the quality of private rented sector homes in the borough, which do not meet acceptable living standards* – It was noted that the Council had a duty to protect tenants and enforce standards in the private rented sector. These responsibilities and powers had increased since the introduction of the Renters' Rights Act in May 2026. The Act had been the biggest change in the last 30 years and secured more rights for renters and more accountability for landlords. The Housing Team aimed to work with landlords, through the Landlords Forum by introducing a series of training events to assist with compliance with the Act.
- *Make it easier to understand how council allocates social housing* – The Committee noted that a review was currently underway on how applicants on the housing register with health issues were assessed and how the current process could be improved to make it easier to understand and provide more clarity to users. Consultation had taken place with partners and the wider community, and the Team were now in a position to test the new way of assessing applicants and how they communicated with users. The Committee requested an All Member Briefing with a demo of the new process, when appropriated, so they were fully informed to assist residents.
- *Develop a new local plan that maximises the delivery of new homes* – The Committee noted that the Strategic Housing and Local Plan Group (SHLPG) were tasked with overseeing the development of the new Local Plan and the

first round of consultation would commence on 19th June and run through to the 31st July 2026.

It was advised that there was a requirement for 600 new homes per year, for which work had already commenced to identify and assess potential sites. It was also noted a procurement process had commenced to undertake a full housing needs assessment to aid understanding on the needs of residents.

The Committee discussed the relationship with the Registered Providers and the work of the Housing Oversight Group, and it was advised that relationships with the providers were generally good, but when issues arose, that couldn't be dealt with internally, the Housing Ombudsman could be engaged. The role of elected Members was important in maintaining relationships by ensuring that any housing issues in wards, were raised promptly with officers.

During a discussion on the impacts of Local Government Reorganisation (LGR) on the housing services provided, it was advised that the new authority would benefit residents through a larger team and access to more resources. The Team currently worked closely with the teams in both Hart, and Basingstoke and Deane councils, used the same systems and had similar policies. A request was made for a clear and simple way to explain how the prioritisation/allocation process worked, which Members could use to help their residents. It was noted that the team were always willing to talk through the process with residents and Members to ensure good understanding.

With regard to a query regarding temporary accommodation, it was noted that over the last twelve-month period, there had been 23 placements in bed and breakfast (B&B) accommodation, 18 of which had no children. Rooms in B&B's were booked for one-week periods and clients may be asked to move out at short notice. The Team were working to make the process better and target support where it was most needed. With regard to the cost of B&B accommodation, it was noted that this had increased in the last three quarters of 2025/26, but the overall cost was still relatively low compared to previous years.

The Committee discuss the data provided, which showed the number of homelessness enquiries, the recent increase was attributed to the implementation of the Renters' Rights Act in May 2026, however, it was expected that this increase would reduce following implementation. It was advised that 84% of cases had been dealt with preventing homelessness in the Borough, this figure was well above the regional and national averages that sat at 55-57%. Other data showed a decrease in private sector improvement notices, where very low numbers of notices had been issued over the past two-year period, and complaint levels relating to the private sector had levelled out over the same period.

In response to a query regarding what could be done to help others achieve higher levels of prevention of homelessness, officers advised that early intervention was key, and the sooner accommodation could be secured the better.

In response to a query regarding private landlords selling their properties, due to the implementation of the Renters' Rights Act, it was felt that this may impact the

individual one-off landlords, but less likely those with a portfolio of properties. However, those with a portfolio of properties would need some adjustment and upskilling to meet the new requirements and it was hoped that this could be done through the Landlord’s Forum. The Committee requested that an information pack be provided for landlords, that could be made available to Members in order for them to assist their residents.

ACTION

What	By Whom	When
Arrange an All Member Briefing from the Housing Team, which would include a demonstration of the new process for assessing applications.	Suzannah Hellicar, Service Manager – Housing	Later in the Municipal Year 2026/27
Provide a link to the Government’s information pack for Landlords following the introduction of the Renters’ Rights Act. The information would then be saved on the Members SharePoint file for ease of access.	Jermaine Pinto, Housing Options Manager	July 2026

4. ROUGH SLEEPING

The Committee received a presentation from Charlotte Redpath, Housing Support Team Leader, which provided an overview of rough sleeping and the support offered to vulnerable rough sleepers in the Borough.

The Committee were advised of the difference between rough sleepers, those bedding down on the street, and the street attached, those with a history of rough sleeping but who were no longer bedding down on the street but were housed in appropriate accommodation for their needs. It was also noted that three times a week the outreach team were out carrying out checks, responding to needs and patrolling regular hotspots. The Team had access to a platform ([StreetLink](#)) that allowed people to report sightings of rough sleepers, and Members were encouraged to promote StreetLink with their residents to ensure no delay in responding to incidents of rough sleeping.

It was noted that the team reported figures of rough sleepers on a set day per month. On average, the single night figure for the Borough had been three rough sleepers and six-eight rough sleepers per month, the numbers were considered low, but the individuals sleeping rough frequently changed during the month. The Committee noted that there was a core group of rough sleepers with long-term re-occurring issues – intervention with these individuals was always quick and support was ongoing.

During the period, January - June 2026, the Committee noted that fourteen rough sleepers had be re-housed. The team were also supporting 57 individuals who had

been placed in accommodation, through long-term and brief interventions as required.

In response to a query, it was noted that not everyone was entitled to housing support, each case was individually tested and certain groups, including those with children, pregnant women or victims of domestic abuse, were given priority need. In some cases, accommodation was provided but not sustained by the individual as a result of behaviours relating to drugs and alcohol use.

The Committee were advised of the Severe Weather Emergency Protocol (SWEP) which gave all individuals, regardless of their circumstances, access to accommodation in extreme hot or cold temperatures. SWEP also gave officers and partners the opportunity to engage further with individuals not willing to engage under normal circumstances.

A request was made for an All Member Briefing from the Housing Team, and arrangements would be made for this to take place later in the year.

ACTION:

What	By Whom	When
Provide an All Member Briefing on the Homes for all Priority.	Suzannah Hellicar, Service Manager – Housing.	Later in the Municipal Year 2026/27

5. APPOINTMENTS 2026-27

RESOLVED: That the following Members be appointed to serve on the following Groups for the 2026/27 Municipal Year:

(1) Agenda Preparation Group

Chairman	Cllr Halleh Koohestani
Vice-Chairman	Cllr Kevin Betsworth
Vice-Chairman	Cllr Steve Harden
Labour Group	Cllr Bill O’Donovan

(2) Council Tax Support Task and Finish Group

Labour Group	Cllr Lisa Greenway Cllr Mike Roberts
Conservative Group	Cllr P.J. Cullum Cllr Steve Masterson
Reform Group	Cllr Kevin Betsworth
Others	Cllr Halleh Koohestani

(3) Housing Oversight Group

Labour Group	Cllr Rhian Jones Cllr Bill O'Donovan 1x TBC
Conservative Group	2x TBC
Reform Group	Cllr Sally McGuinness
Others	Cllr Leola Card
Co-opted Member	Sue Dowell, Citizens' Advice

Group Leaders would be contacted following the meeting to fill the remaining spaces on the Council Tax Support Group and Housing Oversight Group.

6. WORK PLAN

The Committee noted the current work plan and the 2025/26 work plan for reference. It was noted that should any Members wish to have an item considered for scrutiny by the Committee they should advise the Committee Administrator.

The meeting on 16 July, 2026 would be re-scheduled due to the Wellington By-Election being held on the same day, a new date was being sought, and Members would be advised in due course.

The meeting closed at 9.29 pm.

CLLR HALLEH KOOHESTANI (CHAIR)
